Is everything actual?

Do macroscopic objects cause anything? If so, is there pervasive causal overdeterminism? Does it matter if there is?

Can questions concerning numerical identity plausibly be settled by convention? Are they sometimes merely caused by confusions about the meanings of our words or the context in which we find ourselves? What is lost by understanding numerical identity in any of these ways?

Quine says "No entity without identity." What is meant by this aphorism? What can be said for and against it?

Can an object exist in more than one possible world?

The death of correspondence theories of truth has been widely heralded. What exactly is a correspondence theory of truth, and why would anyone be tempted to hold such? Why are such theories supposed to be untenable? Are they?

Does the notion of primitive thisness make philosophical sense? Is it worth its philosophical price? What is its philosophical price?

What are temporal parts? Do ordinary objects have them? Why do some people regard this view as crazy?

What is the best argument for the existence of universals? Does it succeed?

What is an INUS condition, and how is it used in the analysis of causation? Does the analysis succeed? Why or why not?

Why might someone hold that nothing has parts? Why might someone hold that everything has parts? Is there a stable intermediate position?

Are there any good reasons to believe in "bare substrata"?